

L
ITEM NO.1(PH)

COURT NO.13

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NOS.6169-6171 OF 2013

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

M/S JASWANT SUGAR MILLS LTD.& ORS.ETC.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions,exemption from filing O.T. and appln. under section 340 Cr.P.C. for perjury and office report)

With Civil Appeal NOS.6172-6174 of 2013 (With appln. for directions and office report)

Civil Appeal NO.7122 of 2003 (With appln. for permission to file additional documents and office report)

Civil Appeal NOS.7123-7124 of 2003 (With office report)

Civil Appeal NO.7125 of 2003 (With office report)

Civil Appeal NOS.7126-7129 of 2003 (With appln. for permission to file supplementary additional counter affidavit and office report)

CONMT.PET.(CIVIL) NOS.180-183 OF 2005 IN Civil Appeal NOS.7126-7129 OF 2003

Date: 07/08/2013 These Appeals and the petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Pramod Swarup, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Vinay Garg,Adv.

Mr. Tanmaya Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Naveen R. Nath,Adv.

Mr. Anupam Lal Das,Adv.

Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Anirudh Singh, Adv.

Mr. Parthiv Goswami, Adv.

Mr. Dipesh Sinha, Adv.

Mr. S. Chandra Shekhar, Adv.

Mr. R.D. Upadhyay,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Anupam Lal Das,Adv.

Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Parthiv Goswami, Adv.

Mr. Dipesh Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Anirudh Singh, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Kuldip Singh,Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Paraduman Singh, ADv.

Mr. R.D. Upadhyay,Adv.

Mr. Ardhendumauli Kumar Prasad,Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Dwivedi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Prateek Dwivedi, Adv.

Mr. Manish Shanker Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Krishnan Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Pawan Shree Agrawal, Adv.

Mr. Vinay Garg, Adv.

Mr. Vivek Singh, Adv.

Mr. Adarsh Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. Naveen R. Nath, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Hearing concluded.

Judgment reserved.

The parties are at liberty to file their respective written submissions within a week from today.

CONMT.PET.(CIVIL) NOS.180-183 OF 2005 IN Civil Appeal NOS.7126-7129 OF 2003

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners do not intend to press these petitions.

In view of the above statement, these contempt petitions are dismissed as not pressed.

| (SANJAY KUMAR)

COURT MASTER |

(INDU SATIJA) |

| COURT MASTER |