

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL No. 256 OF 2026  
(Arising out of SLP(C) No.485 of 2026)

M/S P S GROUP REALTY PVT LTD

... APPELLANT

Versus

SANDEEP PRAMANIK & ORS.

... RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. Heard Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, learned Senior Counsel for the appellant, as well as Mr. Sanjiv Sen, learned Senior Counsel, who appears on caveat on behalf of respondent No.1, the purported PIL-petitioner before the High Court, and carefully perused the material placed on record.
3. In view of the fact that the conduct and credentials of the PIL-petitioner have been found to be doubtful by the High Court leading it to impose a cost of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) on him, we modify the impugned order of the High Court only to the limited extent that the High Court is requested to continue *suo motu* with the subject proceedings. For the proper assistance, we request the High Court to appoint an eminent lawyer as an *amicus curiae*. The official respondents are directed to produce all the relevant records before the High Court to extend full assistance.

4. However, the PIL-petitioner will not be allowed to participate in the court proceedings, though he shall be at liberty to assist the learned *amicus curiae*.

5. The appeal stands disposed of in the above terms.

6. As a result, the pending interlocutory application also stands disposed of.

.....CJI.  
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.  
(JOYMALYA BAGCHI)

.....J.  
(VIJAY BISHNOI)

NEW DELHI;  
JANUARY 15, 2026

ITEM NO.11

COURT NO.1

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).485/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 17-12-2025 in WPA(P) No. 173/2025 passed by the High Court at Calcutta]

M/S P S GROUP REALTY PVT LTD

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANDEEP PRAMANIK &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 4103/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 15-01-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAY BISHNOI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Srijob Chakraborty, Adv.  
Ms. Abhinabh Garg, Adv.  
Ms. Madhavi Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Naman Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Himanshu Saraswat, Adv.  
Mr. Dipanjan Dutt, Adv.  
Mr. Pradip Lath, Adv.  
Mr. E. C. Agrawala, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Sanjiv Sen, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Surabhi Guleria, AOR  
Mr. Prahalad Balaji, Adv.  
Ms. Simran Gupta, Adv.  
Ms. Jharna Singh, Adv.

Ms. Ameya V.Thanvi, AOR  
Mr. Bharat Malhotra, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal stands disposed of in terms of the signed order.

As a result, the pending interlocutory application also stands disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)  
ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR

(PREETHI T.C.)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(signed order is placed on the file)