

IT E M NO.1

COUR T NO.7

S E C T I O N XIII A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).1 8 0 4 / 2 0 0 4

(From the judgement and order dated 2 6 / 0 6 / 2 0 0 3 in W P No. 4 2 9 4 / 2 0 0 2
of The HIGH COUR T OF A.P AT HYD E R A B A D)

AR E KA T I K A CHA R M A K A S H SANG H HYD.& ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VER SUS

GOV T. OF A.P. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SL P and permission to place additional
documents on record and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

With

SL P (C) NO. 1 8 0 5 of 2 0 0 4

(with appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SL P and permission to file additional
documents and interim ex parte directions and with prayer for interim
relief and office report)

W. P .(C) No.2 5 / 2 0 0 4

(with appln.(s) for clarification/direction and office report)

SL P (C) No.2 1 8 6 / 2 0 0 4

(with appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SL P and clarification/direction and with
prayer for interim relief and office report)

SL P (C) No.2 1 5 8 / 2 0 0 4

(with appln.(s) for clarification/direction and c/delay in filing SL P and with
prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 1 5 / 1 1 / 2 0 0 7 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E G.P. MATHU R

HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E G.S. SIN G H V I

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. P.Niroop, Adv.

2

Mrs. Anjani Aiyagari, Adv.

Mr. V. Sridha r Reddy, Adv.

Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, Adv.

Mr. A.V. Ranga m, Adv.

Mr.B.A. Rangan ath a n, Adv.

Mr. R.Sa nth a n Krishn a n, Adv.

Ms. K. Radha Rani, Adv.

Mr. Pr aveen K. P a ndey, Adv.

Mr. P. Vijaya Ku m a r , Adv.

Mr. C.S.N. Mohan Rao, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. G. Ramakrishna Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Suyodhan Byarapneri, Adv.

Mr. G. Arun, Adv.

Mr. Siddarth Patnam, Adv.

Mrs. D. Bharathi Reddy, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.

Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

S.L. P.(C) Nos. 1804 of 2004

Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks leave of the Court to withdraw the Special Leave Petition in order to enable his clients to pursue the matter before the High Court. The Special Leave Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioners to approach the High Court.

S.L. P.(C) Nos. 1805, 2186 and 2158 of 2004.

Delay condoned.

3

We have heard learned counsel for the parties. The High Court by impugned order had directed that the present slaughter house shall be closed by 31.12.2003 and this shall be irrespective of the fact whether the new modern abattoir project is commissioned on 1.1.2004 or not. We do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned order passed by the High Court.

The Special Leave Petitions are, accordingly, dismissed.

W. P.(C) No. 25 / 2004

By means of this writ petition under Article 32 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged the notice dated 22.12.2003 issued from the office of Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad. This notice has been issued in compliance with the order passed by the Andhra Pradesh High Court on 26.6.2003 in W. P.(C) No. 18928 of 2000 and

others. Since the notice has been issued in compliance with the order passed by the High Court in a writ petition, the same cannot be challenged by means of a writ petition under Article 32 of the Constitution of India. The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Pardeep Kumar)
Court Master

(Radha R. Bhatia)
Court Master