

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 629 OF 2004

RAMJI GUPTA & ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

GOPI KRISHAN AGRAWAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for substitution of L.Rs of the deceased respondent and office report)

WITH Civil Appeal NO. 630 of 2004
(With office report)

Date: 03/08/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA

For Appellant(s) Dr. Rajiv dhavan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. R. Sundervardhan, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Arvind Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Laxmi Arvind,Adv.
Ms. Poonam Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Anuj Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Pradeep Kumar Mathur, Adv.
Ms.T.Anamika, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

From the office report it appears that service of notice is not complete on all the legal representatives of the deceased respondent No.1, who are sought to be substituted in the case.

...2/-

-2-

In the substitution petition (I.A. No.3 of 2009), seven legal representatives of the deceased respondent no.1 are described from serial nos. (i) to (vii). According to the office report service of notice is not complete on the heirs of respondent no.1 at serial nos. (i), (ii), (iv) and (vi).

For want of notice to the heirs of respondent no.1, this appeal is not ready for hearing and it was wrongly listed by the office. Let it go out of the list.

One week' time is allowed to the counsel for the appellants for taking steps for service of notice on the legal representatives of respondent no.1 at serial nos.(i), (ii), (iv) and (vi).

Dasti, in addition, is allowed.

List after service of notice is complete.

(Neetu Khajuria)
Sr. P.A.

(S.S.R. Krishna)
Court Master