rITEM NO.3 COURT NO.3 SECTION IIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 1/2014 in ORIGINAL SUIT NO.2/2014

STATE OF U.P. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GOVT.OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for ex-Parte stay)
WITH
I.A.NO.5 & 6 (Appln.(s) for recall/modification of order dated 15.4.2014)

Date: 09/05/2014 These IAs were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ardhendumauli Kumar Prasad,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. P.P. Malhotra, ASG
Ms. Rachana Srivastava,Adv.
Mr. Utkarsh Sharma, Adv.
Mr. A. Siddiqui, Adv.
Mr. Yasir Rauf, Adv.

Mr. R.S. Suri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Shadman Ali, Adv.
Mr. Satyam Thareja, Adv.
Mr. R. Pandey, Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra,Adv.

Mr. Vishnu B. Saharya, Adv.
Mr. Viresh B. Saharya, Adv.
for M/S Saharya & Co.,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The last order passed by this Court is restricted regarding the land which has been shown in notice under Section 9 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 and it measures only 100 bighas though the land covered by Section 4 notification and Section 6 declaration is more than 214 bighas.

Mr. P.P. Malhotra, learned ASG has pointed out that the last order was passed only to stay dispossession of the plaintiff from the land which has been shown in notice under Section 9. In case any further notice is given under Section 9 in respect of the other land, the plaintiff would have a right to approach the Court for appropriate relief.

I.A. no. 5 stands disposed of accordingly.

List the main matter in the third week of July, 2014 before the appropriate Bench.

Interim order passed earlier to continue till

then.

(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI)
COURT MASTER

(M.S. NEGI)
ASSISTANT REGISTRA