

ITEM NO.22+63

COURT NO.5

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 2162/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 08-04-2024  
in WA No. 1090/2024 passed by the High Court of Judicature at  
Madras]

PRADEEP STAINLESS INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF LABOUR NO. II &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION and I.R.

WITH

SLP(C) No. 2291/2025 (XII)  
FOR ADMISSIONSLP(C) No. 2439/2025 (XII)  
FOR ADMISSIONSLP(C) No.2514/2025  
FOR ADMISSIONSLP(C) No. 2509/2025 (XII)  
FOR ADMISSION

SLP(C) No. 2542/2025 (XII)

DIARY NO.118/2025

DIARY NO.123/2025

Date : 31-01-2025 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA

For Petitioner(s) :Mrs. V Mohana, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. B Rangunath, Adv.  
Mrs. Nc Kavitha, Adv.  
Ms. Bhavya Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Vijay Kumar, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

On a mention being made by Ms. V. Mohana, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners, Diary Nos. 118 of 2025 and 123 of 2025 are taken on record for hearing along with the present special Leave Petitions.

Ms. V. Mohana, learned Senior Counsel, states that the impugned judgment suffers from serious errors apparent on record, as such the petitioner proposes to file review petition before the High Court.

Accordingly, as prayed, the present petition(s) are dismissed as withdrawn leaving it open for the petitioner to approach the High Court by way of review petition(s) with further liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court again to challenge the impugned order as well as the order to be passed in the review petition(s) by the High Court, if so required.

(NEETU KHAJURIA)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(RANJANA SHAILY)  
COURT MASTER