

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 5058 OF 2002

CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR, H.U.D.A. & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

PUSHPALATA JHUNJHUNWALA

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

WITH S.L.P.(C) NO. 14064 of 2004 (With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and With office report),

Civil Appeal NO. 4179 of 2004(With office report),

S.L.P.(C) NO. 4194 of 2004(With prayer for interim relief and office report),Civil Appeal NO. 4238 of

2004,S.L.P.(C) NO. 4908 of 2004(With prayer for interim relief and office report), Civil Appeal NO.

5059 of 2002(With office report),Civil Appeal NO. 5060 of 2002(With office report) Civil Appeal NO.

5061-5067 of 2002(With appln(s) for intervention)(With office report), Civil Appeal NO. 5068 of 2002

(With office report), S.L.P.(C) NO. 6097 of 2004(With prayer for interim relief and office report),S.L.P.

(C) NO. 6204 of 2004(With prayer for interim relief)S.L.P.(C) NO. 6310 of 2004(With prayer for interim

relief),S.L.P.(C) NO. 7315-7316 of 2004(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP),S.L.P.(C) NO. 9965 of

2004(With office report)

Date: 19/07/2005 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Appellant(s)/

Mr. J.P. Dhanda, Adv.

Petitioner

Mrs. Raj Rani Dhanda, Adv.

Mr. Vineet Dhanda, Adv.

Mr. D.P. S. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Jain

Ms. Avneet Toor, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Manoj Swarup, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Gupta, Adv.

Respondent-In-Person

Mr. B.S. Jain, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Veer Singh Jain, Adv.

Mr. Manish Chauhan, Adv.

Mr. Goodwill Indeevar, Adv.

Mr. Ranbir Singh Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Annam D.N. Rao, Adv.

...2/-

: 2 :

Mrs. Sudha Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Atishi Dipankar, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Sabharwal, Adv.

RR-EX-PARTE

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard.

On the facts of these cases, taking into account the peculiar fe
ature, we find
no scope for interference. In those cases where the conveyance deeds have been s
ubmitted

in view of our dismissal of connected matters the order of the High Court is also applicable to the cases where conveyance deeds have been submitted and necessary follow up actions have to be taken by the authority.

The appeals and the special leave petitions are dismissed accordingly.

(PAWAN KUMAR)
AGGARWAL)

COURT MASTER
MASTER

(VIJAY

COURT

(signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.5058 OF 2002

CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR, H.U.D.A.& ORS.

.... APPELLANTS

VERSUS

PUSHPALATA JHUNJHUNWALA

.... RESPONDENT

WITH

Civil Appeal NO. 5061-5067 of 2002, Civil Appeal NO. 5068 of 2002,

Civil Appeal NO. 5059 of 2002, Civil Appeal NO. 5060 of 2002,

S.L.P.(C) NO. 14064 of 2004, Civil Appeal NO. 4179 of 2004,

S.L.P.(C) NO. 4194 of 2004, Civil Appeal NO. 4238 of 2004, S.L.P.(C) NO. 4908 of 2004),

S.L.P.(C) NO. 6097 of 2004, S.L.P.(C) NO. 6204 of 2004, S.L.P.(C) NO. 6310 of 2004, S.L.P.

(C) NO. 7315-7316 of 2004, S.L.P.(C) NO. 9965 of 2004

O R D E R

Heard.

On the facts of these cases, taking into account the peculiar feature,
we find
no scope for interference. In those cases where the conveyance deeds have been submitte
d
in view of our dismissal of connected matters the order of the High Court is also appli
cable
to the cases where conveyance deeds have been submitted and necessary follow up actions
have to be taken by the authority.

The appeals and the special leave petitions are dismissed accordingly.

.....J.

.....
(ARIJIT PASAYAT)

.....J.

.....
(H.K. SEMA)

NEW DELHI,
JULY 19, 2005.