

X  
ITEM NO.101  
(Part-Heard)

COURT NO.8

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 975 OF 2007

UNION OF INDIA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

ABN AMRO BANK & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions and office report)

WITH CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 976 OF 2007

(With appln. for permission to place addl. documents on record and office report)

Date: 06/05/2013 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. P.P. Malhotra, ASG  
Mr. Ashok Panda, Sr Adv.  
Ms. Asha G. Nair, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Kumar Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Lingaraj Sarangi, Adv.  
for Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

R-1 Mr. V. Giri, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.  
Mr. Koshy John, Adv.  
Mr. Manav Vohra, Adv.

Mr. Amit Sibal, Adv.  
Mr. Jafar Alam, Adv.  
for M/s. Lawyer's Knit & Co.

RBI Mr. Kuldeep S. Parihar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

We are sorry to note that in spite of specific direction given by this Court on April 30, 2013 to the

...2/-

: 2 :

Reserve Bank of India (RBI) to inform the Court as to how it understands the scope of Sections 19 and 29(1)(b) of the Foreign Exchange Regulations Act, 1973 and its role as well as its understanding of the notification dated January 13, 1998, no response has been filed. RBI was also directed to make available all relevant notifications and also to explain how it has understood the expression 'Automatic Route' under the Act.

Unfortunately, no officer is present today to explain RBI's stand.

In these circumstances, we direct a responsible officer from the RBI to remain personally present in the Court on May 07, 2013 at 10.30 a.m.

Arguments heard in-part.

Put up on May 07, 2013 as first case.

| (N.S.K. Kamesh)

| | (Renuka Sadana)

|  
| Court Master

| | Court Master

|