

Ô\$

W.P(C)No. 47 OF 1998

ITEM No.28

Court No. 2

SECTION PIL  
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(Civil) No.47/1998

NAVIN M. RAHEJA

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

U.O.I. & ORS

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief & O.R. )  
( With Appln(s). for directions,Intervention/Impleadment )  
With

SLP(C)No.1474/1998(With appln.for exem.from filing O.T. & further  
directions )

Date : 28/10/2002 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.B. PATTANAİK  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVARAJ V. PATIL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

For Petitioner (s)

Petitioner-In-Person

For Respondent (s)

Mr. P.N. Mishra,Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Ajay K. Agrawal,Adv.  
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma,Adv.  
Mr. P. Parmeswaran,Adv.  
Mr. Ashok Mathur,Adv.  
Mr. N. Ganpathy,Adv.  
Mr. C.S. Srinivasa Rao,Adv.  
Mr. K.K. Rai,Adv.  
Ms. Hemantika Wahi,Mrs.Anu Dubey,Advs.  
Ms. Sandhya Goswami,Adv.  
Mr. Anil Shrivastav & Mr.Jyoti Dutt,Advs.  
Mr. Satish K. Agnihotri,Adv.  
Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale,Adv.  
Mr.Khwairakpam Nobin Singh,Adv.  
Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee,Adv.  
Ms. A.Subhashini,Adv.  
M/s.A.Mariarputham,Ms.Arun Mathur,A.D.  
Mathur,Advs.for  
M/s Arputham,Aruna & Co.,Adv.  
M/s R.C. Verma,Mukesh Verma & A.Chaudhary,Advs  
Mr. G. Prakash,Adv.  
Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed,Adv.  
Mr. S.V. Deshpande,Adv.  
Mr. J.P. Dhanda,Adv.  
Mr. Annam D.N. Rao,Adv.  
Mr. Naresh K. Sharma,Adv.  
Mrs V.D. Khanna,Mr.S.K.Shandilya,Advs.

Mr. Ajay K. Agrawal., Adv.  
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv.  
Mrs. Kirti Renu Mishra, Adv.  
Ms. Mohna Lal, Adv. for  
Mr. Rajiv Mehta., Adv.  
Mrs. Revathy Raghavan, Adv.

Mrs. Geetanjali Mohan & Mr. Dhan Singh Nagar, Adv.  
Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.  
Mrs. Sunita R. Singh, Adv.  
Mr. P.K. Rai, Adv.  
Mr. K.N. Nagar, Adv. for Mr. R.N. Poddar, Adv.

M/s. Sridhar, P., Sudhir Mishra, Pankaj Singh, Adv.  
for G.R.K. Prasad, Adv.

M/s. K.N. Nagpal, R.N. Poddar, Adv. for  
Mr. B.V. Balram Dass, Adv.

Ms. Harvinder Kaur, DAG Punjab  
Mr. Manish Khandelwal, Adv. for  
Mr. R.S. Suri, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Shinde, Adv. for  
Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J  
.SP2

So far as the proposal of having an alternative alignment to the existing road is concerned, Mr. Mishra appearing for the State of Uttaranchal states that the State of Uttar Pradesh is not agreeing to the same on account of which the alternative road is not being put into execution. The learned counsel appearing for the State of Uttar Pradesh is directed to file an affidavit within four weeks from today indicating as to why the aforementioned plot of land be not handed over to the State of Uttaranchal for construction of the alternative road, which is absolutely necessary in the interest of the project Tiger. Put up after four weeks.

The appearance of the Chief Secretary of Uttaranchal State is dispensed with for the time being.

.SP1

(Y.P. Dhamija)  
Court Master

(Suneet Bala Sharma)  
Assistant Registrar