

H'
W.P(C)No. 47 OF 1998

ITEM No.23

Court No. 7

SECTION PIL
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(Civil) No.47/1998

NAVIN M. RAHEJA

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

U.O.I. & ORS

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief) & office report
(With Appln(s). for directions and Intervention/Impleadment)
With

SLP(C)No.1474/1998
(With appln.for exemption from filing OT)
(For further directions)

Date : 20/01/2003 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For the parties:

Petitioner-In-Person

Mr.Prakash Shrivastava,Adv.

Mr.VG Pragasam,Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma,Adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran,Adv.
Mr. Ashok Mathur,Adv.
Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde,Adv.
Mr. C.S. Srinivasa Rao,Adv.
Mr. K.K. Rai,Adv.

Ms.Aruna Gupta,Adv.
Ms. Hemantika Wahi,Adv.

Ms. Sandhya Goswami,Adv.

Ms.Jyoti Dutt,Adv.
Mr. Anil Shrivastav,Adv.

Mr. Satish K. Agnihotri,Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale,Adv.
Mr.Khwairakpam Nobin Singh,Adv.
Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee,Adv.
Ms. A.Subhashini,Adv.

M/s A.Mariarputham,Aruna Mathur,
Anurag D.Mathur,Advs.for
M/s Arputham,Aruna & Co.

Mr. R.C. Verma,Adv.
Mr. G. Prakash,Adv.
Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed,Adv.
Mr. S.V. Deshpande,Adv.
Mr. J.P. Dhanda,Adv.
Mr. Annam D.N. Rao,Adv.
Mr. Naresh K. Sharma,Adv.

Mr.SK Shandilya,Adv.
Mrs V.D. Khanna,Adv.

Mr.PN Mishra,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Ajay K. Agrawal.,Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Sharma,Adv.
Mrs. Kirti Renu Mishra,Adv.

Ms.Mohna Lal,Adv.
Mr. Rajiv Mehta.,Adv.

Mr.C.Parmasivam,Adv.
Mrs.Revathy Raghavan,Adv.

M/s P.Sridhar,PS Narasimha,
GRK Prasad,Advs.

M/s RK Rathore,AAG
Mr.RS Suri,Adv.

M/s Parveen K.Rai,RS Yadav,Advs.

M/s BB Singh,Sunita R.Singh,Advs.

Mr.Ramesh Babu MR,Adv.

M/s Sudhir Mishra,Pankaj K.Singh,Advs.

M/s SS Shinde,VN Raghupathy,Advs.

M/s TV Ratnam,K.Subba Rao,Advs.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J....J
.SP2

In terms of order dated 2nd December, 2002, States of Uttranchal and U.P. were required to put forth their respective proposal/counter proposal before the Standing Committee. Mr.PN Mishra, learned senior counsel states that State of Uttranchal has already sent its proposal to the Standing Committee. He further submits that in so far as he has learnt the State of U.P. has not sent its proposal/counter proposal. Mr. RC Verma, learned counsel is unable to state whether the State of U.P. has

3

sent its proposal/counter proposal in terms of order dated 2nd December, 2002 or not. The final decision of the Standing Committee in terms of order dated 2nd December required to be taken within five weeks and communicated to this Court. In case, the State of U.P. has not sent its proposal/counter proposal it shall now send it to the Standing Committee without any further delay and in no case beyond one week from today so that on the adjourned date this Court has the views of Standing Committee before it.

The Standing Committee shall positively take a decision within three weeks.

Call after four weeks.

.SP1

[Naresh Kumar]
Court Master

[VP Tyagi]
Court Master