

ü'

W.P(C)No. 47 OF 1998
ITEM No.63

Court No. 5

SECTION PIL
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(Civil) No.47/1998

NAVIN M. RAHEJA

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

U.O.I. & ORS Respondent (s)
(With Appln(s). for directions and Intervention/Impleadment
and directions and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

With

SLP(C)No.1474/1998
(With appln. for exemption from filing O.T.)
(For further directions)

Date : 01/09/2003 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

For Petitioner (s)
in WP 47/98:Petitioner-In-Person

in SLP 1474/98:Mr. Prakash Shrivastava, Adv.

For Respondent (s)
St. of Uttaranchal:Mr. Gaurav K. Banerjee, Addl.Adv.Genl.
Mrs. D. Bharathi Reddy, Adv.

Mrs. Geetanjali Mohan, Adv.
Mr. Dhan Singh Nagar, Adv.

St.of A.P.:Mrs. K. Amareswari, Sr.Adv.
Mr. G. Venkatesh, Adv.
Mr. K. Subba Rao, Adv.
Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Adv.

Mrs. Bharathi D. Reddy, Adv.
Ms. Sangeeta Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, Adv.

St. of Haryana:Mr. Praveen Kumar Rai, Adv.

St. of Punjab:Mr. R.K. Rathore, Adv.
Mr. R.S. Suri, Adv.

...2/-

-2-

For Andaman & Nicobar		Mr. R.K. Rathore, Adv.
Dadra & Nagar Haveli		Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.
Daman & Diu, Laksh-		

dweep:

NCT of Delhi:Mr. R.K. Rathore, Adv.
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

St.of Bihar:Mrs. Sunita R. Singh, Adv.
Mr. B.B. Singh, Adv.

Mr. P.S. Narasimha, Adv.
Mr. P. Sridhar, Adv.
Mr. Ananga Bhattacharya, Adv.
Mr. G. Seshagiri, Adv.
Mr. G. Ramakrishna Prasad, Adv.

St. of Maharashtra:Mr. S.S. Shinde, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh K. Giri, Adv.
Mr. S.V.Deshpande, Adv.

St. of Manipur:Mr. KH. Nobin Singh, Adv.
Mr. S. Biswajit Meitei, Adv.
Mr. B.V. Niren, Adv.

St. of U.P.:Mr. R.C. Verma, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.
Mr. Manish Shanker, Adv.

St.of Sikkim:Mr. A.Mariarputham, Adv.
Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.

St. of Gujarat &Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.
St. of Mizoram:Ms. Aruna Gupta, Adv.

St. of Tamil Nadu:Mr. P.N. Ramalingam, Adv.
Mr. V. Balaji, Adv.

St.of Nagaland:Mr. U. Hazarika, Adv.
Ms. Sumita Hazarika, Adv.

St. of Tripura:Ms. Mohna Lal, Adv.
Mr. Rajiv Mehta, Adv.

St. of Arunachal
Pradesh:Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.

For UOI:Mr. Raju Ramachandran, A.S.G.
Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma,Adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran,Adv.

Mr. Ashok Mathur,Adv.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde,Adv.

Mr. C.S. Srinivasa Rao,Adv.(N/P)

....3/-

-3-

Mr. K.K. Rai,Adv.

Ms. Sandhya Goswami,Adv.

Mr. Satish K. Agnihotri,Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale,Adv. (N/P)

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee,Adv.

Ms. A.Subhashini,Adv.

Mr. G. Prakash, Adv.
Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed, Adv.
Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, Adv.
Mrs. V.D. Khanna, Adv.
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv.
Mrs. Kirti Renu Mishra, Adv.
Mrs. Revathy Raghavan, Adv. (N/P)
Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.
Mr. J.S. Attri, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Mr. Banerjee, learned counsel appearing for the State of Uttaranchal, states that the State of Uttaranchal has no objection to the proposal given in the affidavit of Shri Aseem Srivastav, dated 21.2.2003 (at page 1066 of Vol. I-D). Learned counsel, however, seeks clarification in regard to the administration of an area in the proposed alignment between Kalagarh and Phekanala and states that for effective implementation of the proposal given in the affidavit of Mr. Srivastav, the administrative control should be handed over to the State of Uttaranchal though the said area can continue to belong to the State of Uttar Pradesh.
....4/-

-4-

Mr. R.C. Verma, learned counsel appearing for the State of U.P., prays for a short adjournment to take instructions. Let this aspect be also examined by the learned Additional Solicitor General appearing for the Ministry of Forest and Environment.
List after five weeks on a Friday.
The States who have not filed affidavits pursuant to our order, shall file the same within four weeks.

(N. Annapurna)
Court Master

(V.P. Tyagi)
Court Master