

, &
W.P(C)No. 47 OF 1998
ITEM No.40

Court No. 6

SECTION PIL, IVA
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(Civil) No.47/1998

NAVIN M. RAHEJA

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

U.O.I. & ORS
(With office report)

Respondent (s)

With S.L.P.(C) No.1474/1998
(With appln. for exem. from filing O.T.)
(For Further Directions)

Date : 12/12/2003 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. SRIKRISHNA

For Petitioner (s)Petitioner-In-Person

Mr. G. Ramakrishna Prasad, Adv.

For Respondent (s)

-Uttaranchal:Mr. Gaurav K. Banerjee, Addl.Adv.Genl.
Mrs. D. Bharathi Reddy, Adv.

-Manipur:Mr. KH. Nobin Singh, Adv.
Mr. M. Gireesh Kumar, Adv.

-Punjab:Mr. Sarup Singh, Sr. Addl.Adv.Genl.
Mr.R.K. Rathore, Addl.Adv.Genl.
Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.
Ms. Geetanjali Mohan, Adv.
for Mr. R.S.Suri, Adv.

-Haryana:Mr. Praveen Kumar Rai, Adv.
Ms.Kavita Wadia, Adv.

-Bihar:Mr. Kumar Rajesh Singh, Adv.
Mr. B.B. Singh, Adv.

-Goa & W.Bengal:Ms. A. Subhashini, Av.

-Jharkhand:Mr. Rajesh Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv.

For Respondent (s)

-Pondicherry:Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.

- Orissa:Mrs.Kirti Mishra, Adv.

-NCT of DelhiMr. R.K. Rathore, Adv.
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

...2/-

-2-

-Andaman & Nicobar | Mr. R.K. Rathore, Adv.

Dadra & Nagar Haveli | Mr. D.S.Mehra, Adv.
Daman & Diu |
Lakshdweep|

-Sikkim:Mr. A. Mariarputham, Adv.
Mrs. Aruna Mathur, Av.

for M/s Arputham, Aruna & Co., Adv.

-Arunachal Pradesh:Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.

-U.P.:Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.
Mr. Pramod Dayal, Adv.

-Maharashtra:Mr. S.S. Shinde, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh K. Giri, Adv.

-Nagaland:Mr. U. Hazarika, Adv.
Ms. Madhvi Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Sumita Hazarika, Adv.

-Gujarat & Mizoram:Mrs. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.
Ms. Archana Palkar, Adv.

-UOI:Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.

-Karnataka:Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.

-Madhya Pradesh:Mr. Satish K. Agnihotri, Adv.
Mr. Rohit K. Singh, Adv.

-Meghalaya:Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

-Tripura:Mr. Rajiv Mehta, Adv.

-Tamil Nadu:Mr. P.N. Ramalingam, Adv.

-Kerala:Mr. Ramesh Babu M.R., Adv.
Ms. Anupama Madanan, Adv.

-A.P.:Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Adv.
Mr.K.Subba Rao, Adv.

-J & K:Mr. Altaf H. Nayak, A.G., J&K
Mr. Anis Suhrawardy, Adv.

For other parties:
Mr.Gopal Singh, Adv.

Mr. C.S. Srinivasa Rao, Adv. (N/P)
Mr. K.K. Rai, Adv.
Ms. Sandhya Goswami, Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Adv. (N/P)
Mr. G. Prakash, Adv.
Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed, Adv.
Mr. S.V. Deshpande, Adv.
Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv. (N/P)

Mrs.Revathy Raghavan, Adv. (N/P)

....3/-
-3-

For Other Parties:Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.

Mr. J.S. Attri, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Mr. Raheja, the petitioner in person, has filed in Court a brief summary in relation to release of funds and utilization thereof, appending thereto the present scenario of release of funds and his suggestions. The problem which repeatedly arises year after year is about the allocation of funds and its utilization for protection of wild life in general and tiger project in particular. Difficulties arise in timely allocation of funds by the State Governments to the user agencies as also of furnishing of utilization certificates by the State Governments/user agencies to the Ministry of Environment and Forests. Mr. Rao, learned counsel, after discussion with the officers of the Ministry and the petitioner, shall present us a scheme on implementation whereof it may be possible that the allocations are made by the State Governments to the user agencies in time, the amounts so allocated are actually utilised for the project for which the sums are allocated, there is no diversion of funds and that timely utilization certificates are furnished to the Ministry to facilitate allocation of funds for the next year. List the case in the first week of February, 2004, at the bottom of the list.

(N. Annapurna) (V.P. Tyagi)
Court Master Court Master