

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 76 OF 2004

R. VENKATAKRISHNAN

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for bail and office report)

With

Crl.A.No.164/2004

(With appln. for stay and with office report)

Crl.A.No.92

(With office report)

Crl.A.No.101/2004

(With appln. for permission to file addl. document and office report)

Crl.A.No.90/2004

(With appln. for ex-parte stay and with office report)

Crl.A.No.147/2004

(With appln. appln. for ex-parte stay and office report)

Date: 07/05/2008 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LOKESHWAR SINGH PANTA

For Appellant(s) Mr. Anupam Lal Das,Adv.

Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Adv.

Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Khanduja, Adv.

Mr.Rajeev B. Masodkar, Adv.

Mr.Shishir Deshapande, Adv.

Mr. Amit Yadav,Adv.

Mr. S.V. Deshpande,Adv.

Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. C.V. Subba Rao, Adv.

Mr. B.K. Prasad, Adv.

Mr. P. Parmeswaran,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Despite this Court's order dated 2.4.2008, the documents have not been produced. We do not appreciate the attitude

of the advocates on record. The matter is a part-heard matter and it was expected that the order of this Court shall be complied with. We place on record our displeasure and hope that the learned counsel appearing before this Court shall abide by the undertakings they make and do not contribute to unnecessary adjournments in a part-heard matter.

Put up in the third week of July, 2008.

Not to be treated as part-heard.

[Meenu Sethi]
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[Pushap Lata Bhardwaj]
Court Master