

t  
SLP(C)No. 536-537 OF 2001

ITEM No.39

Court No. 4

SECTION XIV  
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.536-537/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 27/12/2000 in CM 3201/2000  
& 3202/2000 in CR(Rent) No. 124/2000 of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI  
AT N. DELHI)

RAM SINGH

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

SHAKUNTLA DEVI

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date : 26/02/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.B. PATTANAİK  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

For Petitioner (s)

Mr. C.L. Sahu,Adv. (Not present)

For Respondent (s)

Mr. K.S. Rana,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J  
.SP2

These applications have been filed for extension of time to vacate the premises. Notwithstanding the fact that the applicant-petitioner had given an undertaking before the High Court of Delhi to vacate the premises by 31.12.2000, a limited notice had been issued to the respondent to indicate why the applicant should not be allowed to continue in the premises till 30th of April, 2001 for the reasons indicated in the applications. The respondent has filed a

...2

(2)

counter-affidavit indicating the difficulties which she would suffer in the event the applicant is allowed to continue in the premises till 30th April, 2001. When the matter was called, there was no response from the applicant. Even on the second call also the applicant is not present. But, having heard the learned counsel for the respondent, we see no justification for allowing any extension of time to the

applicant for vacating the premises. The Special Leave Petitions stand rejected. The petitioner must vacate the premises forthwith.

.SP1

(J.S. Rawat)  
Court Master

(Suneet Bala Sharma)  
Court Master