

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 679-680/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 21/06/2000 in CRLRC 740/97
and CRP No.732/1997 of The HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDRABAD)

RAGI VEERACHARY Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P. Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for bail)

Date : 12/03/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.T. THOMAS
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. SETHI

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Randhir Singh Jain,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Ms. T. Anamika, Adv.
Mr. Guntur Prabhakar,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn our attention to the language used by the learned single judge of the High Court indicating that Supreme Court was not correct in understanding the judgment delivered by the Addl.Session Judge while disposing of the appeal. We have noticed the said observation made by the learned Single Judge of the High Court (Siddappa, J.). We agree with the learned counsel that the learned Single Judge should have used a better language while dealing with the

-2-

matter remitted by this Court, in conformity with the comity usually followed in such matters. Nonetheless we have chosen to refrain from using any language on the basis of what is employed by the learned Single Judge of the High Court.

We have gone through all the judgments and found that the petitioners stand convicted under Section

411 and sentence of rigorous imprisonment for nine months has been awarded. Considering the large amount involved, we do not think it necessary to interfere with the sentence awarded to him. We therefore dismiss these SLPs.

.SP1

Hemalatha

(HK Bhatia)
Court Master