

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1640 OF 2010

THANA SINGH

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

CENTRAL BUREAU OF NARCOTICS

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 06/02/2012 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

For Appellant(s)	Mr. Sunil Verma, Adv. Mr. Pradeep Kumar Kaushik, Adv. Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Adv.
For Respondent(s) State of Karnataka	Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv. (AC)
UOI	Mr. Ashok Bhan, Sr. Adv. Mr. S.S. Rawat, Adv. Mr. Ashwani Garg, Adv. Mr. H.S. Sachdeva, Adv. Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv. Mr.D.S. Mahra, Adv. Mr. Anil Katiyar, Adv. Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal, Adv.
State of Rajasthan	Dr. Manish Singhvi AAG Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Adv
State of Punjab	Mr. Kuldip Singh ,Adv Mr. R.K. Pandey, Adv. Mr. H.S. Sandhu, Adv. Mr. Mohit Mudgil, Adv.
State of UP	Mr. Shail Kumar Dwivedi, AAG Mr. Kunal Verma, Adv. Mr. Abhinav Shrivastava, Adv. Mr. Gunnam Venkateswara Rao, Adv Mr. A. Sharma, Adv. Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.

...2/-

:2:

State of Chhattisgarh	Mr. Atul Jha, Adv. Mr. Sandeep Jha, Adv. Mr. D.K. Sinha, Adv. Mr. G. Prakash, Adv. Mr. S. Gowthaman, Adv.
State of Bihar	Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv. Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv.

State of Jharkhand Mr. Gopal Prasad, Adv.

State of Tripura Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

State of Gujarat Ms. Pinky Behra, Adv.
Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.

Mr. Naresh K Sharma, Adv.

Mr. P.P. Malhotra, ASG
Mr. J.S. Attri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. R.K. Rathore, Adv.
Ms. Sadhna Sandhu, Adv.
Mr. B.K. Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Sourav A. Gupta, Adv.
for Mr. B.V. Balram Das, Adv.

State of Meghalaya Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.
Mr. S. Bhowmick, Adv.
Mr. S.C. Ghosh, Adv.
Mr. R.P. Yadav, Adv.

State of Manipur Mr. Khwairakpam Nobin Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv.

State of Arunachal Pradesh Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.
Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

State of Assam Ms. Vartika Sahay, Adv.
for M/s Corporate Law Group

State of Uttarakhand Mr. Abhishek Atrey, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Verma, Adv.
Ms. Shivika Jain, Adv.
Mr. Brijesh Panchal, Adv.

...3/-

:3:

UT of Chandigarh Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia, Adv.

State of Tamil Nadu Mr. M. Anbalagan, Adv.
Mr. B. Balaji, Adv.
for Mr. S Thananjayan, Adv.

State of Maharashtra Mr. Shankar Chillarge, AGA
Ms. Asha G Nair, Adv.

Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Adv.

State of Mizoram Mr. Pragyan Pradip Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Mandakini Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Rupesh Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Gautam Dhamija, Adv.
Mr. S. Muthukrishnan, Adv.
Mr. Surendra Kr. Maurya, Adv.
Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran, Adv.

State of Orissa Mr. Suresh Ch. Tripathy, Adv.

State of West Bengal Mr. Anip Sachthey, Adv.
Mr. Mohit Paul, Adv.
Ms. Shagun Matta, Adv.

Calcutta HC Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Raja Chatterjee, Adv.
 Mr. G.S. Chatterjee, Adv.

State of Nagaland Mr. Edward Belho, Adv.
 Mr. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv.
 Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Lhirsisate Inalu, Adv.
 Ms. Nimshim Vashum, Adv.
 Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.

U.T. of Pondichery Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.
 Mr. S.J. Aristotle, Adv
 Mr. Prabu Rama Subramanian, Adv.

State of Sikkim Mr. A. Mariarputham, Adv. Gen.
 Mrs. Aruna Mathur, Adv.
 Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv.
 for M/s Arputham Aruna & Co.

State of Haryana Mr. Manjit Singh, AAG
 Mr. Tarjit Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

...4/-

:4:

State of Goa Ms. A. Subhashini, Adv.
 Mr. Siddharth Bhatnagar, Adv.
 Mr. Pawan Kumar Bansal, Adv.
 for Mr. T. Mahipal, Adv.

State of A.P. Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, Adv.
 Mr. Mayur Shah, Adv.
 Ms. Suchitra, Adv.
 Mr. Amit K. Nair, Adv.

State of J & K Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.
 Mr. Krishanu Adhikari, Adv.
 Ms. Vernika Tomar, Adv.
 Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv.

State of Kerala Ms. Bina Madhavan, Adv.
 Mr. Avijit Bhattacharjee, Adv.

H.C. Of Gujrat Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv.
 Mr. Charudatta Mahindrakar, Adv.
 Ms. Rucha A. Mayee, Adv.
 Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava, Adv.

H.C. Of M.P. Mr. Sunny Choudhary, Adv.
 Mr. Abhimanyu, Adv.
 Ms. Sakshi, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

As per office report, despite due intimation to the High Courts of Rajasthan and Delhi, directions issued by us vide order dated 16th December, 2011, have not been complied with.

We regret to note that even the concerned officials from both the High Courts have chosen not to appear in Court and explain their stand.

Under the circumstances, we are constrained to direct the Registrar Generals of the High Courts of Rajasthan and Delhi to appear in this Court in person along with the relevant information sought for vide orders dated 9th May, 2011 and 12th October, 2011.

The officers concerned shall appear on 27th February, 2012.

(VINOD LAKHINA)
Court Master

(KUSUM GULATI)
Court Master