

L1

ITEM NO.40

COURT NO.8

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).4972/2010
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18/08/2009
in WP No.1528/2006 passed by the High Court Of Chhatisgarh At
Bilaspur)

TULENDER SAGAR & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF CHHATISGARH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for deletion of the names of respondent nos.12 and
13 and interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) No.14375/2010 (With office report)

SLP(C) No.2453/2011 (With appln.(s) for intervention and office
report)

Date : 20/03/2017 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE L. NAGESWARA RAO

Counsel for the parties

Mr. Vivek K. Tankha, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Neeraj Shekhar, Adv.

Mr. O. Kumanan, Adv.

Mr. Sachin Pujari, Adv.

Mr. R.V. Prabhat, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Verma, Adv.

Ms. Yugandhara P. Jha, Adv.

Mr. Gautam Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Prasanna Mohan, Adv.

Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Shantanu Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Narsingh N. Rai, Adv.

Dr. Harsh Pathak, Adv.

Mr. Farrukh Rasheed, Adv.

Mr. Siddhartha Sukla, Adv.

2

Mrs. Shaveta Mahajan, Adv.

Mr. Mohit Choubey, Adv.

Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv.

Mr. A. Selvin Raja, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused
the record.

For the reasons stated in the interlocutory applications, the
names of respondent nos.12 and 13 in SLP(C) No.4972 of 2010, are
deleted from the array of parties at the risk of the petitioners.
The special leave petitions are dismissed.

However, in the facts and circumstances of these cases, we
consider it appropriate to direct the respondents i.e. the State of
Chhattisgarh and the Public Service Commission, not to bar the
petitioners on the ground of age, if they apply for the posts of
Principal, in pursuance of advertisement of fresh recruitment. We
order accordingly.

No orders need be passed on the application for intervention
filed in SLP(C) No.2453 of 2011.

As a sequel to the above, pending interlocutory applications,
if any, stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Kumar-II) (Indu Pokhriyal)

Court Master Court Master