

S U P R E M E            C O U R T   O F       I N D I A  
R E C O R D   O F   P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).3312/2013  
(From the judgement and order dated 22/02/2013 in MB No.10458/2012  
of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD, BENCH AT LUCKNOW)

COL.(RETD.) UMESH MATHUR & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 30/04/2014            This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI

For Petitioner(s)            Mr. K.S. Rana, Adv. (N.P.)

For Respondent(s)            Mr. Pawan Shri Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Pragati Neekhara, Adv.

Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Adv.  
Mr. Arjun Mahajan, Adv.  
Mr. Rohan Garg, Adv.  
Mrs. Manik Karanjawala, Adv.  
M/S. Karanjawala & Co., Adv.

Mr. Nitin Kumar Thakur, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The special leave petition is filed against the order dated 22nd February, 2013 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, Lucknow Bench. By the impugned order, the High Court refused to quash the FIR qua petitioner relating to Crime No. 101/2002 under Section 498A, 323, 504, 506 and 406, IPC read with Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, Police Station Mahila Thana, Lucknow.

1

Nobody appears on behalf of the petitioners.

The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that nothing subsists in the present case as after investigation it has been found that the petitioner committed no offence and thereafter their names have been dropped. It is also accepted by the learned counsel for the complainant.

In view of the stand taken by the respondent, no order is required to be passed.

The special leave petition stands disposed of.

[RAJNI MUKHI]  
SR. P.A.

[USHA SHARMA]  
COURT MASTER

