

In order to thwart the petitioner from pursuing those cases, her in-laws took advantage of the joint bank account of the petitioner with her father-in-law (Madhu Sudan Singh Jaggi) and arranged for cheques to be issued by the father-in-law to various parties, who are unknown to the petitioner. Thereafter, the respondents who are strangers to the petitioner, have filed the cases under the Negotiable Instruments Act in different States of India, against the petitioner. The counsel next submits that these are all got up cases filed collusively by the in-laws with the Complainants and if they have to be tried, they should be analogously tried at Delhi, where the petitioner and her in-laws are residing.

In view of above, let notice, returnable in six weeks, be issued.

In the meantime, there shall be stay of further proceedings in (I) C.C. No. 28/2019 titled as "Inderpreet Singh @ Inderpal Singh Vs. Guneet Kaur", pending before the Court of CJM, Tarantaran, Punjab, (ii) C.C No. 2428/2019 titled as "*Charanpal Singh versus Guneet Kaur*", pending before the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Raipur, Chattisgarh & (iii) C.C. No. 425/2019 titled as "*Harpal Singh versus Guneet Kaur*", pending before the Court of ACJM, Rai Singh Nagar, Rajasthan.

(ASHWANI KUMAR)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(BEENA JOLLY)
BRANCH OFFICER