

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL D. NO.(S). 3275 OF 2014

U.O.I & ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

N.R PRASAD

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard.

Delay condoned.

Mr. Maninder Singh, learned Additional Solicitor General, submits that the issues arising for consideration in this petition stand answered against the petitioners by judgment dated 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2014, passed by this Court in Civil Appeal Nos.4717-4719 of 2013 - Union of India and others vs. Atul Shukla and connected matters-(2014) 10 SCC 432. In that view, therefore, no substantial question of law of general/public importance survives for our consideration in this application for leave to appeal.

The prayer for leave to appeal is accordingly declined and the application dismissed.

.....J  
(T.S. THAKUR)

.....J  
(ADARSH KUMAR GOEL)

NEW DELHI

DATED 28<sup>th</sup> JANUARY, 2015.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SCIVIL APPEAL DIARY NO.(s). 3275 of 2014

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 26/11/2013 in OA No. 468/2013 passed by the Armed Forces Tribunal, Principal Bench at New Delhi)

U.O.I &amp; ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

N.R PRASAD

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for condonation of delay in filing appeal and office report)

Date : 28/01/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Maninder Singh,ASG  
Mr. R. Balasubramanian,Adv.  
Mr. Pranav Kumar,Adv.  
Mr. Santosh Kumar,Adv.  
Mr. B. V. Balaram Das,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard.

Delay condoned.

Mr. Maninder Singh, learned Additional Solicitor General, submits that the issues arising for consideration in this petition stand answered against the petitioners by judgment dated 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2014, passed by this Court in Civil Appeal Nos.4717-4719 of 2013 - Union of India and others vs. Atul Shukla and connected matters-(2014) 10 SCC 432. In that view, therefore, no substantial question of law of general/public importance survives for our consideration in this application for leave to appeal.

The prayer for leave to appeal is accordingly declined and the application dismissed.

(MAHABIR SINGH)  
COURT MASTER

(VEENA KHERA)  
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)