

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).6332/2007

(From the judgement and order dated 26/09/2006 in LPA No.51/2006 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

INDERJEET SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

WITH S.L.P. (C) No.6335/2007

(With office report)

S.L.P. (C) No.3563/2007

(With prayer for interim relief)

(For final disposal)

Date: 24/01/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. A.V. Palli, Adv.
 Mrs. Rekha Palli, AOR
 Mr. Anupam Raina, Adv.

In SLP 3563/2007 Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.
 Mr. R.K. Pandey, Adv.
 Mr. H.S. Sandhu, Adv.
 Mr. Mohit Mudgil, Adv.
 Mr. Sanjay Jain, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Manjit Singh, AAG Haryana
 Mr. Tarjit Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, AOR

In SLP 3563/2007 Mr. Gagan Gupta, AOR

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

S.L.P. (C) Nos.6332 and 6335 of 2007, have been filed against the judgment and order dated 26th September, 2006, passed by the Punjab and Haryana High Court, in L.P.A. Nos.51 and 52 of 2006, holding the same to be not maintainable.

We have heard similar matters and both these matters appear to be covered by the submissions made in S.L.P. (C) No.16005 of 2006 - Mohinder Singh and Another v. State of Haryana and Another, in which judgment has already been reserved. In these two matters also judgment is reserved for pronouncement of judgment along with the judgment in Mohinder Singh's case.

The decision rendered in L.P.A. No.292 of 2009, decided on 25th February, 2006, by the Division Bench of the High Court, will also have application to the facts of this case.

S.L.P. (C) No.3563 of 2007

Having heard learned Standing Counsel for the State of Punjab, in support of the special leave petition and also learned counsel for the respondents-land owners, we are not inclined to interfere with the award, as made by the Reference Court and affirmed by the High Court, which we feel is just in the facts and circumstances of the case.

The special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed with the aforesaid observations.

There will be no order as to costs.

All the interim orders stand vacated.
(Chetan Kumar) (Juginder Kaur)
Court Master Assistant Registrar