

ITEM NO.14

COURT NO.12

SECT

ION IIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F

I N D I

A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

6

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)

No. 2955/201

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 28/01/2016 in CRLMC No. 5121/2015 passed by the High Court Of Orissa At Cuttack)

JAYANTA KUMAR DAS

Petit

itioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF ORISSA

Respo

ndent(s)

Date :25/04/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Purushottam Sharma Tripathi, Adv.  
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Luv Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Ankolekar Gurudatta, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Shibashish Misra, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

has

By the impugned order, the High Court

by

confirmed the direction given by the Trial Court in referring the seized material for examination

the

C.F.S.L., Kolkata. It is the contention of

Signature Not Verified  
the  
Digitally signed by USHA

petitioner that the material is not admissible in evidence as the mandatory procedure under Section 80 of the Information and Technology Act, 2000 has not been followed. That would be a stage after

report from C.F.S.L., Kolkata is received and it will

RANI BHARDWAJ  
Date: 2016.04.25  
17:30:15 IST  
Reason:

be open to the petitioner to argue that the report should not be admitted in evidence.

Such arguments

2

as and when raised would be dealt with by the Trial Court appropriately.

With these obsercvations, the special leave

petitions is disposed of.

[ Charanjeet Kaur ]  
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[ Saroj Saini ]  
Court Master