

ITEM NOS. 8 + 71

COURT NO.2

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.1046 OF 2013

OTIS ELEVATOR CO. INDIA LTD & ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for ex-Parte stay and exemption from filing O.T.
and office report)[FOR PREL. HEARING]

WITH

W.P.(C) NO.1047 OF 2013

(With appln(s) for ex-Parte stay and exemption from filing O.T.
and office report)[FOR PREL. HEARING]

W.P.(C) NO.1048 OF 2013

(With appln(s) for ex-Parte stay and exemption from filing O.T.
and office report)[FOR PREL. HEARING]

W.P.(C) NO.1049 OF 2013

(With appln(s) for ex-Parte stay and exemption from filing O.T.
and office report)[FOR PREL. HEARING]

W.P.(C) NO.1050 OF 2013

(With appln(s) for ex-Parte stay and exemption from filing O.T.
and office report)[FOR PREL. HEARING]

W.P.(C) NO.1051 OF 2013

(With appln(s) for ex-Parte stay and exemption from filing O.T.
and office report)[FOR PREL. HEARING]

W.P.(C) NO.1052 OF 2013

(With appln(s) for ex-Parte stay and office report)
[FOR PREL. HEARING]

W.P.(C) No. 1098 OF 2013

(With appln(s) for ex-Parte stay and office report)

Date: 06/01/2014 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVA KIRTI SINGH

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Shyam Diwan, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Sonu Bhatnagar, Adv.

Mr. Manish Panda, Adv.

Mr. Somnath Shukla, Adv.

Mr. Kshitiz Karjee, Adv.

Mr. Praveen Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

W.P.(C) NO.1046 OF 2013; W.P.(C) NO.1047 OF 2013; W.P.(C) NO.1048 OF 2013; W.P.(C) NO.1049 OF 2013; W.P.(C) NO.1050 OF 2013; W.P.(C) NO.1051 OF 2013 and W.P.(C) No. 1098 OF 2013

Tag with Writ Petition (Civil) No. 232 of 2005.

In the meanwhile, no coercive steps shall be taken to effect recovery as it is stated at the Bar that admitted amount of tax has already been deposited.

The effect of this order would be that the appeals stated to have been preferred by the petitioners against the order passed by the Commercial Tax Officer shall not be dismissed on account of non-deposit of the additional demand.

W.P.(C) NO. 1052 OF 2013

Tag with Writ Petition (Civil) No. 232 of 2005.

In the meanwhile, no coercive steps shall be taken to effect recovery as it is stated at the Bar that admitted amount of tax has already been deposited.

|(Rajesh Dham)
|Court Master

|(Renu Diwan)
|Court Master

|
|