

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL/CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 210 OF 2004

SOCIETY, HUMAN RIGHTS & CIVIL  
LIBERTIES

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

WITH  
W.P(C) NO. 211 of 2013

O R D E R

We are of the considered view that the reliefs sought for in these writ petitions cannot be granted. We fail to see how this Court can strike down a Rehabilitation Policy. Policy matters will not fall within the domain of this Court. Framing policy is an executive function not a judicial one and hence we do not find any reason to grant the reliefs prayed for.

The writ petitions stand dismissed.

.....J.  
[K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN]

.....J.  
[A.K. SIKRI ]

NEW DELHI;  
DECEMBER 06, 2013

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.8

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
WRIT PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 210 OF 2004

SOCIETY,HUMAN RIGHTS & CIVIL LIBERTIES

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

WITH W.P(C) NO. 211 of 2013  
(With appln(s) for stay)

Date: 06/12/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI

For Petitioner(s) Prof. Bhim Singh, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Satish Vig, Adv.

Dr. Sukhdev Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. V.K. Vasdev, Adv.  
For Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv.  
Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.

Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.  
Ms. Sunita Rani Singh, Adv.  
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

We find no ground to entertain these writ petitions which  
are, accordingly, dismissed.

| (NARENDRA PRASAD)  
| COURT MASTER

| | (RENUKA SADANA)  
| | COURT MASTER

|

|

1 (Signed order is placed on the file)