

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
[CIRCUIT BENCH AT PORT BLAIR]

CO/27/2026

Miss. Shiksha Joydhar

Vs

Shri Debendra Nath Jaidhar and others

Mr. K. Vijay Kumar ... for the petitioner

April 21, 2026
[SR]
Item No.10

1. The petitioner has made out a strong *prima facie* case as to whether the Trial Judge is justified in refusing the application of the plaintiff/petitioner, in a suit for declaration and consequential relief, for a direction on the Executive Engineer, PHED, APWD, to restore water supply to the petitioner which was disconnected by the Executive Engineer at the behest of the defendant/opposite party no.5 in the teeth of a subsisting order of injunction.
2. Accordingly, the petitioner shall serve copies of the revisional application on the opposite party, indicating that the matter shall be listed next before the appropriate Bench in the next Circuit. The petitioner shall file an affidavit of service to that effect on the returnable date.
3. It is made clear that the pendency of this revisional application or the suit shall not come in the way of the petitioner applying for an independent water supply connection and/or sub-connection in her own name, if the petitioner is otherwise so entitled in law.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)