

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
[CIRCUIT BENCH AT PORT BLAIR]

CPAN/25/2024

V.K. M. Mohammed Miskin
Vs.
The Tehsildar

Mr. Arul Prasanth ... for the petitioner

Mr. Shatadru Chakraborty
Mr. Dibesh Dwivedi ... for the contemnor

June 21, 2024
[SR]
Item No. 48

Learned counsel for the contemnor contends that in compliance of the order under contempt, the alleged contemnor had already taken a decision by disposing the pending application of the writ petitioner/applicant. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said order was, however, a travesty of justice since no hearing was given to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the alleged contemnor controverts such allegation and submits that in the order of contempt, there was no specific direction for giving hearing to the petitioner. Moreover, the order passed by the authorities in terms of the order of this Court discloses that ample opportunity of hearing was given to the petitioner.

Be that as it may, since an affidavit of compliance has been filed by the alleged contemnor in the department, which has not been tagged with the file, let the same be tagged immediately and the matter be placed for hearing in the list on June 24, 2024 for passing appropriate orders.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)