

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA**  
[ CIRCUIT BENCH AT PORT BLAIR ]

\*\*\*

**MAT/67/2026**

IA No.CAN/1/2026, CAN/2/2026

Dr. Thulasidasan

Vs.

Shri M. Sethu and others

Mr. Surajit Samanta

Mr. Veedur Narayan

... for the appellant

Mr. Arul Prasanth

... for the respondent No.1

Mr. Rakesh Kumar

... for the official respondent

April 17, 2026

[SR]

Item No.5

1. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant files affidavit of service as well as one envelope with endorsement "insufficient address".
2. In any event, since all the respondents are appearing, there is no impediment in taking up the matter.
3. However, after hearing learned counsel appearing for the appellant, learned counsel appearing for the respondent no.1, during arguments, places reliance on an order dated April 13, 2026 which was passed by one of us (Smita Das De, J.) in WPA/79/2026.
4. Since the present appeal arises out of another passed in the self-same writ petition and from the tenor of the arguments, it is evident that the maintainability of the writ petition itself is also under challenge in the appeal, for the sake of propriety, we release the matter on personal ground with liberty to the appellant to move the appeal before the next available Circuit

Bench and/or to take appropriate steps for assignment of the matter before a different Bench by the Hon'ble the Chief Justice.

5. It is hereby recorded that the appellant, through counsel, has served a copy of the CAN/2/2026 on the respondent here and now.

**( Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J. )**

**( Smita Das De, J. )**