

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
[CIRCUIT BENCH AT PORT BLAIR]

MAT/67/2026
IA No.CAN/1/2026

Dr. Thulasidasan

Vs.

Shri M. Sethu and others

Mr. Veedur Narayan	... for the appellant
Mr. Arul Prasanth	... for the respondent no.1
Mr. Rakesh Kumar	... for the respondent nos.2&3

April 13, 2026
[SR]
Item No.20

1. Learned counsel for the private respondent no.1 submits that the present appeal has, in any event, been rendered infructuous in view of a subsequent order having been passed in the writ petition from which the present appeal arises, on March 11,2026, extending the time for filing the affidavit-in-opposition.
2. From the server copy of such subsequent order dated March 11, 2026 handed over to us today, we prima facie find substance in such submission. Even otherwise, the Court is in a quandary as to how the impugned order dated February 17, 2026 comes within the purview of 'judgment' for a Letters Patent appeal to be maintainable.
3. In any event, since learned counsel for the appellant seeks an accommodation, we adjourn the matter for the day.

4. However, on April 16, 2026 when the matter shall be next listed, learned counsel for the appellant must come prepared to argue on the questions indicated above.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)

(Smita Das De, J.)