

OD-2

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Ordinary Original Civil Jurisdiction
ORIGINAL SIDE

CS/250/2011
IA No. GA/4/2022

SANJAY JHUNJHUNWALA
-VS-
MILAP CHORARIA & ORS.

BEFORE:

The Hon'ble JUSTICE KRISHNA RAO

Date : February 27, 2025.

Appearance:

Mrs. Manju Agarwal, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Anju Manot, Adv.

... for the plaintiff

Mr. Milap Choraria

... defendant no.1 (appears in person)

Mr. Shatanshu Panda, Adv.

... for the defendant no. 2

The Court: The matter is listed as "To Be Mentioned" on receipt of the speed post letter sent by Mr. Milap Choraria who is the defendant no.1 in the present case.

It is found that every now and then, the defendant no.1 is sending written communication either by way of application or by way of a letter directly addressing to this Court by name of the Judge. It is also found from the record that whenever the matter is mentioned either by the defendant no.1 or the counsel for the plaintiff, the matter is listed either under the heading "To Be Mentioned" or for "Orders" or any of the headings but the defendant no.1 has time and again prayed for time on the ground that SLP has been preferred. Now the defendant no.1 is submitting that he is senior citizen and the matter is to be heard expeditiously.

In view of the above, this Court restrains the defendant no.1, Mr. Milap Choraria not to send any communication either in the form of written communication or through any other mode to this Court in the name of the Judge. If the defendant no.1 is having any grievance, he can make appropriate application in accordance with law. Accordingly, applications filed by the defendant no.1 by sending directly to this Court in the name of this Court are not accepted. If the defendant no.1 intends to file any application, he is at liberty to file the same in accordance with law.

Now after passing of the above order, the defendant no.1 has prayed for apology for the act which he has committed by sending a direct communication to this Court by name. The apology is accepted but the defendant no.1 is warned by directing him not to send any communication directly to this Court in the name of the Judge.

The defendant no.1 has filed an application being GA/4/2022 praying for rejection of the plaint. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that pleadings in connection with the said application have been completed.

Let the application being GA/4/2022 be placed under the heading “Adjourned Motion” on 6th March, 2025.

(KRISHNA RAO, J.)