

ORDER SHEET

OD-7

GA 1 of 2022  
C.S. No. 214 of 2022  
IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION  
ORIGINAL SIDE

Belle Vue Clinic

VS

Birla Corporation Limited & Ors.

BEFORE:

The Hon'ble JUSTICE ARINDAM MUKHERJEE

Date: 16<sup>th</sup> September, 2022.

Appearance:

Mr. Ravi Shankar Prasad, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Jishnu Saha, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Anirban Ray, Adv.  
Mr. Rajarshi Dutta, Adv.  
Mr. Sankarsan Sarkar, Adv.  
Ms. Pritha Basu, Adv.  
Mr. Rahul Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Saptarshi Kar, Adv.  
... for the plaintiff.  
Mr. C. A. Sundaram, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Joy Saha, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Rohini Musa, Adv.  
Mr. Avishek Guha, Adv.  
Mr. Rajat Gupta, Adv.  
Ms. Akansha Chopra, Adv.  
... for the respondent no.9.  
Mr. Abhrajit Mitra, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Debanjan Mandal, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjiv Kr. Trivedi, Adv.  
Mr. Soumya Roy Chowdhury, Adv.  
Mr. Jishnu Chowdhury, Adv.  
Mr. Sarvapriya Mukhejee, Adv.  
Mr. Satadip Bhattacharyya, Adv.  
Ms. Iram Hassan, Adv.  
Mr Sanket Saraogi, Adv,  
Ms. Mahima Cholera, Adv.  
... for the respondent no.  
7&10.

Mr. Ranjan Bachawat, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Paritosh Sinha, Adv.  
Ms. Manju Bhuteria, Adv.  
Mr. S. Roy Chowdhury,Adv.  
Mr. S. Mitra, Adv.  
Mr. S. Chowdhury, Adv.  
Ms. T. Bose, Adv.  
Mr. S.Mukherjee, Adv.  
Mr. Rachit Lakhmani, Adv.  
... for the respondent no.1..

**The Court:** The Counsel for the respective parties while arguing in respect of G.A. No. 1 of 2022 filed in CS 212/2022 (Eastern India Educational Institution vs. Birla Corporation Limited & Ors.) had submitted that the same set of arguments will be adopted in the instant case as the facts are more or less identical and the orders prayed for by the plaintiff/petitioner are similar. Considering such submission, instead of passing a detailed order, I propose to consider the prayer for ad interim order as prayed for by the plaintiff/petitioner in the same line of arguments as in G.A. No. 1 of 2022 filed in CS 212/2022.

In the said application being G.A. No. 1 of 2022 filed in CS 212/2022 I have passed a detailed order. In that view of the matter, no detailed recording of facts and submission are made in this order. For the reasons as provided in the order dated 16th September, 2022 passed in G.A. No. 1 of 2022 filed in CS 212/2022, the ad interim order of injunction as prayed for by the plaintiff is refused.

The matter should be heard on affidavits. Affidavit-in-opposition by 7th November, 2022. Reply if any, thereto by 28<sup>th</sup> November, 2022.

Parties will be at liberty to mention the matter for inclusion in the list after the affidavits are complete or on expiry of the time permitted for filing of the affidavits if no affidavits are filed.

**(ARINDAM MUKHERJEE, J.)**