

OD - 2

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction

ORIGINAL SIDE

WPO/887/2024

REYA SAHA

VS

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL AND ORS

BEFORE :

THE HON'BLE JUSTICE TIRTHANKAR GHOSH

Date : 19<sup>th</sup> September, 2025

Appearance :

*Mr. Pawan Kumar Gupta, Adv.*

*Mr. Sayantan Rakshit, Adv.*

*Ms. Subhasri Chatterjee, Adv.*

*...for the petitioner.*

*Mr. Debjit Mukherjee, Adv.*

*Ms. Susmita Chatterjee, Adv.*

*..for the State.*

*Mr. Yashraj Roy, Adv.*

*Mr. Yash Dalmia, Adv.*

*Ms. Sangeeta Singh, Adv.*

*..for the respondent no.5 & 6.*

The Court : The report submitted by the State reflects that the specimen signature has been sent to the handwriting expert and the outcome of which is being awaited by the investigating agency. The investigating agency, as such, is not in a position to arrive at a logical conclusion. The learned advocate prays for some accommodation as the report of the expert is not in the absolute control of the investigating agency. Consequently, another two months time is granted to the investigating agency for submitting the progress/status report. The report submitted by the State is kept on record.

List the writ petition under the same heading in the Monthly List of December, 2025.

(TIRTHANKAR GHOSH, J.)

sd/