

OCD-16

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
COMMERCIAL DIVISION
ORIGINAL SIDE

CS-COM/750/2024
IA NO: GA-COM/1/2024, GA-COM/2/2024, GA-COM/3/2024,
GA-COM/4/2024

SKIPPER LIMITED
VS
INDIAN BANK AND ANR

BEFORE:

The Hon'ble JUSTICE KRISHNA RAO

Date : February 7, 2025.

Appearance:

Mr. Shounak Mukhopadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Sayantan Bose, Adv.
Ms. Monisha Das, Adv.
...for the plaintiff

Ms. Deblina Lahiri, Adv.
Mr. Debashis Sarkar, Adv.
Mr. Mrinmoy Chatterjee, Adv.
...for the defendant no.1

Mr. Tanuj Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Animesh Paul, Adv.
Ms. Susmita Ghorai, Adv.
...for the defendant no.2

The Court:- Mr. Shounak Mukhopadhyay, learned Advocate, is appearing for the plaintiff.

Ms. Deblina Lahiri, learned Advocate, is appearing for the defendant no. 1.

Mr. Tanuj Gupta, learned Advocate, is appearing for the defendant no. 2.

Counsel for the defendants prays for fixing the matter for hearing in the month of April, 2025.

In view of the submission made by the counsel for the defendants, let the matter appear on 7th April, 2025 at 2:00 P.M.

Counsel for the plaintiff submits that interim order granted earlier has expired on 4th February, 2025 but due to inadvertence, the plaintiff could not make a prayer for extension of interim order. Accordingly he prays for re-imposition of the interim order.

Considering the submission made by the parties, the interim order is extended till 7th April, 2025 or until further orders, whichever is earlier.

The Secretary, Calcutta High Court, Legal Service Committee has submitted the report dated 6th February, 2025 wherein it reveals that in terms of the order passed by this Court, the defendant no. 2 has deposited an amount of Rs. 5,000/- being the cost for acceptance of Written Statement but the said cheque has been dishonored with the endorsement "fund not sufficient".

The Counsel for the defendant no. 2 prays for some time to ascertain whether the cheque is dishonored and if dishonored, he shall pay the same amount within a week from date.

(KRISHNA RAO, J.)