

IA No. GA/1/2025
In
CS/61/2025
IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

GLENMARK PHARMACEUTICALS LIMITED
VS
WEST BENGAL MEDICAL AND SALES REPRESENTATIVES UNION AND
OTHERS

BEFORE:

The Hon'ble JUSTICE ARINDAM MUKHERJEE

Date: 23rd July, 2025.

Appearance:
Mr. Dhruba Ghosh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. A. Alim, Adv.
Mr. A.U. Agarwal, Adv.
For plaintiff
Mr. Aninda Lahiri, Adv.
Ms. Dipika Banu, Adv.
Mr. S. Chakraborty, Adv.
Ms. Bolivia Roy, Adv.
For defendants

The Court:- Affidavit of service filed in Court today is taken on record.

It appears that no notice of motion is on record even after the matter has been taken up for consideration for several occasions. The presumption is that the same has been taken out by the advocate for the plaintiff/petitioner. Instead of going by the technicalities which would otherwise lead to dismissal of the present application on the ground that no notice of motion has been taken out, liberty is granted to the plaintiff/petitioner to take out a notice of motion and serve the same on the

defendants and file such notice of motion along with the affidavit of service on the next date.

It is submitted by the defendants/respondents that they are in the process of filing an application under Order VII Rule 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC) for rejection of the plaint and dismissal of the suit.

The defendants/respondents also intend to file an application for discharge and/or vacating of the ad interim order passed in favour of the plaintiff.

After hearing the parties and going through the materials on record, I think justice will be sub-served if I extend the ad interim order and keep this application on a particular date so that the application/applications proposed to be filed by the defendants are also in the list.

List this matter on 30th July, 2025.

The ad interim order passed on 20th June, 2025 is extended till 29th August, 2025 or until further orders whichever is earlier.

(ARINDAM MUKHERJEE, J.)

Sb/