

OD-6

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
ORIGINAL SIDE

WPO/424/2025

SULOCHANA HARI
VS
M/S. EASTERN COAL FIELDS LIMITED AND ORS

BEFORE:

The Hon'ble JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA ROY

Date : 24th June, 2025.

APPEARANCE:

Mr. Subhrangsu Panda, Adv.

Mrs. Pratati Pramanick, Adv.

Ms. H. Roy, Adv.

Mr. Ratul Ghosal, Adv.

Mr. Rohit Adhikary, Adv.

..for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Kanti Poddar, Adv.

Ms. Khusboo Ruia, Adv.

Ms. Anjali Shaw, Adv.

For respondents.

The Court:- Ms. Bratati Pramanick, learned Advocate led by Mr. Subhrangsu Panda, learned Advocate appears for the petitioner.

Referring to the representation dated **March 21, 2025** claiming monetary compensation under the relevant Coal Agreement, **Annexure P-5 at page 47** of the writ petition, learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the same has not yet been disposed of.

The law is well settled on the issue.

Mr. Anup Kanti Poddar, learned Advocate appears for the Coal Company.

In view of the above, respondent no. 5 is directed to consider the said representation dated **March 21, 2025** in the light of the judgment of this Court dated May 20, 2025 **In the matter of : Maya Bouri vs. M/s. Eastern Coal Fields Ltd. and Ors.** rendered in **WPO/33/2025** and passed its reasoned order after verification of all the relevant records.

In the event assistance is required from the petitioner, the same shall be called upon and shall be provided by the petitioner forthwith.

The entire exercise shall be carried out and completed within **six weeks** from the date of communication of this order. This direction is mandatory.

The reasoned order then shall be communicated to the petitioner within the said period of **six weeks**.

After the reasoned order is communicated, the petitioner shall be at liberty to mention this writ petition for including for its **final disposal** upon notice to the respondents.

Mr. Panda submits that he has received instruction and accordingly the petitioner with reference to prayer (c) to the writ petition does not press the claim relating to arrear provident fund and pension with interest as claimed therein. For such claim the petitioner prays for liberty to file separate necessary proceeding in accordance with law.

After considering the prayer of the petitioner, the claims relating to provident fund and pension with interest are deleted from the scope of this writ petition.

However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to agitate this claim, if any, on account of arrear of provident fund and pension with interest in a separate

independent proceeding in accordance with law, if the petitioner is found to be eligible to do so in law.

(ANIRUDDHA ROY, J.)

dg)