



OCD- 1

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
COMMERCIAL DIVISION
ORIGINAL SIDE

CS-COM/38/2024
[OLD NO CS/113/2006]

V. K. UDYOG LTD.
VS
NIAJ AHMED AND ORS.

BEFORE:

The Hon'ble JUSTICE KRISHNA RAO

Date : 29th October, 2025.

Appearance:

Ms. Sneha Singhania, Adv.
Ms. S. Ghosh, Adv.
...for the plaintiff

Mr. Ayan Dutta, Adv.
Ms. Sarmistha Das, Adv.
...for the defendant No. 2

Mr. Vivek Basu, Adv.
...for the commissioner

1. Learned Advocate Commissioner has filed the report after serving the copy to the learned Advocate for the parties. Let the same be kept with the record.
2. It is submitted by the learned Counsel for the plaintiff that the plaintiff's witness has been examined and she will not adduce any further evidence.
3. Accordingly, the evidence on behalf of the plaintiff is closed.
4. Counsel for the defendants submitted that the defendants require at least six weeks time to adduce evidence as the witness of the defendant has to come from Bangladesh.



5. The Counsel for the defendant has handed over a communication dated 28th October, 2025, wherein it reveals that the bank however is of Bangladesh has applied for Visa to send the representative of the bank to Kolkata to adduce the evidence and in the said communication it has been intimated that it will take some time to grant Visa to Kolkata.
6. In view of the above, let the matter appear on 6th January, 2026 at 2:00 P.M., 7th January, 2026 at 12:30 P.M. and 8th January, 2026 at 2:00 P.M.
7. The Commissioner has completed the recording of the evidence of the plaintiff's witnesses and submitted the report, accordingly, the plaintiff is directed to pay the further remuneration of Rs.25,000/- to the Commissioner within a week from date.
8. The Commissioner has completed the examination of the witness. Accordingly, he is discharged from the case as Commissioner.

(KRISHNA RAO, J.)