

**In The High Court at Calcutta
Commercial Division
Original Side**

EC-COM/364/2026

JALNIDHI BITUMEN SPECIALITIES PRIVATE LIMITED
VS
HARPAL SINGH

BEFORE:
The Hon'ble JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA ROY
Date : June 29, 2026.

Appearance:
Mr. Tanish Ganeriwala, Adv.
Ms. Jiya Bose, Adv.
Mr. Tapashya Bhattacharyya, Adv.
... for the plaintiff

The Court: This is an execution application arising from a money decree dated **July 29, 2025**.

The principal decretal sum is **Rs.1,38,94,743/-** along with interests and costs allowed under the decree. The aggregating total sum of decree is **Rs.2,67,05,578/-**.

The decree-holder moves the execution application today *ex parte*.

The details of the immovable properties of the judgment-debtor are described in **paragraph 12** of the supporting affidavit and the details of the bank accounts are described in **paragraph 13** of the supporting affidavit.

Mr. Tanish Ganeriwala, learned Advocate appearing for the decree-holder submits that the decree has not yet been appealed against.

Thus, the decree stands crystallized.

There shall be an order of injunction restraining the judgment-debtor and/or its men, agents, servants, assigns from dealing with and/or disposing of and/or encumbering and/or creating any third party right, title

and interest, over and in respect of the immovable properties mentioned in **paragraph 12** to the supporting affidavit in any manner whatsoever.

There shall also be an order of injunction restraining the respondent and/or its men and agents servants assigns from operating the bank accounts mentioned in **paragraph 13** of the supporting affidavit without setting apart a sum of **Rs.1,38,94,743/-** being the principal decretal dues.

The decree-holder shall serve a notice along with a copy of today's order upon the judgment debtor and file an affidavit of service on the next date.

The matter shall appear under the heading **“New Chamber Applications”** in the monthly list of **September, 2026**.

(ANIRUDDHA ROY, J.)

S.Mandi/S.M