

OD-10

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
ORIGINAL SIDE

WPO/346/2025

DR. PRAKASH CHANDRA KESHARI AND ORS.
VERSUS
KOLKATA MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND ORS.

BEFORE

The Hon'ble Justice RAJA BASU CHOWDHURY

Date: 27th November, 2025

APPEARANCE

Mr. Subrata Kumar Basu, Adv.

Mr. Kumar Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Jain, Adv. (VC)

.....for the petitioners

Mr. Achintya Banerjee, Adv.

Mr. Dilip Kumar Chatterjee, Adv.

...for the KMC

Mr. Ajay Ray, Adv.

Mr. Pradipta Panda, Adv.

...for the respondent no.5

1. Mr. Basu, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners would contend that pursuant to the order dated 20th November, 2025, the private respondent have since been served.
2. Mr. Ray, learned Advocate appears for the respondent no.5. He would submit that he has got the copy of the writ petition only yesterday.
3. However, from the copy of the envelope presented by him, it would transpire that the said respondent was served long back, though the amended copy has not been served. Be that as it may, taking into consideration the fact that the respondent no.5 is now represented though Vakalatnama is yet to be filed, the writ petition should be taken up for consideration only after filing of Vakalatnama by the respondent no.5 who undertakes to file the same in course of a week.

4. In view thereof, let this matter appear under the same heading on 4th December, 2025.
5. The officer in-charge of the local police station is directed to communicate this order on the respondent nos.6 and 7 and file a report when the matter is taken up next.
6. Since the respondent no.5 is already represented in Court, it is not necessary for the municipal authorities to disclose the particulars of the recorded address of the respondent no.5 any further.

(RAJA BASU CHOWDHURY, J.)