

OD 17

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
ORIGINAL SIDE

WPO/221/2025
PARTHA SARTHI ROY
VS
KOLKATA MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND ORS.

BEFORE
HON'BLE JUSTICE GAURANG KANTH
Date: June 26, 2025.

Appearance :
Mr. Riju Ghosh, Adv.
Ms. Oisani Mukherjee, Adv.
Mr. Utsav Mukherjee, Adv.
...for petitioner
Mr. Srijan Nayak, Adv.
Ms. J. Dasgupta, Adv.
...for KMC.
Mr. Falguni Bandyopadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Amit Das, Adv.
Ms. R. Ballar, Adv.
...for respondent 7
Ms. Tuli Sinha, Adv.
...for State respondent

The Court:- The petitioner has preferred the present writ petition, being aggrieved by the inaction of the respondent Corporation in failing to take appropriate steps against the unauthorised construction carried out by the private respondent no. 7 at premises No. 60, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Ward No. 40, Borough V, P.S. Amharst Street, Kolkata.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners contends that private respondent no. 7 is carrying out a construction in deviation of the sanctioned building plan. The petitioner from time to time has intimated the respondent corporation about the said unauthorised construction, however, the respondent authority failed to take any action against the said unauthorised construction. The respondent Corporation vide RTI

reply dated 8th January, 2024 admitted the existence of unauthorised construction, however, no steps has been taken for demolition of the same.

Learned Counsel for the respondent seeks and is granted two weeks time to file a report. Exception to the report, if any, be filed within one week thereafter.

Learned Counsel for private respondent no. 7 seeks and is granted two weeks time to file his affidavit in opposition, reply to the same, if any, be filed within one week thereafter.

List the matter after four weeks.

(GAURANG KANTH, J.)

GH.