

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
ORIGINAL SIDE

EC/6/2025

T. E. THOMSON AND COMPANY LIMITED
VS
RAJSHRI PRODUCTIONS PRIVATE LIMITED

BEFORE:

The Hon'ble JUSTICE ANANYA BANDYOPADHYAY

Date: 29th January, 2026.

Appearance:

Mr. Rittick Chowdhury, Adv.

Mr. Dwip Raj Basu, Adv.

...for Decree-holder

Ms. Rajarshi Dutta, Adv.(VC)

Mr. Varun Kothari, Adv.

Mr. A.P. Agarwalla, Adv.

...For the Judgment Debtor.

The Court :- The Learned Advocate representing the decree-holder submitted that the appeal preferred by the judgment debtor has not been considered by the Appellate Court since the same is running in the monthly list. The Learned Advocate representing the decree-holder further submitted that the affidavit of assets filed by the judgment debtor was not in proper form.

The Learned Advocate representing the judgment debtor submitted that the affidavit of assets filed on behalf of the judgment debtor included an annexure at page 9 being the bank statement confirming Rs. 3,18,03,969.87/-

to be lying in the current account No.1122237901 of the judgment debtor's against the PAN NO. AAACR4139G as on 23rd May, 2025, aptly securing the interest of the decree-holder.

The Learned Advocate representing the decree-holder refuted the aforesaid contentions of the Learned Advocate representing the judgment debtor stating on information it was learnt that the judgment debtor had been utilizing the money claimed to have been deposited to secure the interest of the decree-holder.

The Learned Advocate representing the judgment debtor is to furnish the current bank statement as on 31st January, 2026 on the next date of hearing.

Next date be fixed on 20th February, 2026.

(ANANYA BANDYOPADHYAY, J.)