

OD-2

ORDER SHEET

WPO/111/2018
IA No. GA/3/2020 (Old No. GA/525/2020)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
ORIGINAL SIDE

SUVRA KUMAR DEY
-VS-
THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS

BEFORE:

The Hon'ble JUSTICE ARINDAM MUKHERJEE
Date : 11th November, 2021.

[Via video conference]

Appearance:

Mr. Suvra Kumar Dey (in person)

Mr. Amitesh Banerjee, Sr. Standing Counsel

Ms. Chaitali Bhattacharya, Adv.

Mr. Subhendu Roy Choudhury, Adv.

... for the State

Mr. Soumya Majumdar, Adv.

... for WBTC

The Court : In the instant writ petition wherein the petitioner has challenged the charge sheet issued to him by his employer, the petitioner took out an application being GA 3074 of 2018 which was disposed of by an order dated 19th December, 2018, allowing the enquiry proceeding to continue without any restriction and the Enquiry Officer was given liberty to submit his report to the disciplinary authority within a timeframe. The disciplinary authority was also permitted to pass an appropriate order on the basis of the enquiry report within a timeframe but no effect was directed to be given to the order of the disciplinary authority without the

leave of the Court. The enquiry proceedings were completed and the disciplinary authority has passed an order on 6th June, 2019. The petitioner has, inter alia, challenged the enquiry report and the order passed by the disciplinary authority in a subsequent writ petition being WPO No. 919 of 2021. In the second writ petition, I am told the directions for filing of affidavit have been given. The affidavit-in-opposition is required to be filed by 15th of November, 2021.

In the facts and circumstances as aforesaid, I think that instead of hearing the instant writ petition in an isolated manner, both the writ petitions should be heard together.

Let this writ petition being WPO 111 of 2018 appear along with WPO 919 of 2021 on 8th December, 2021.

(ARINDAM MUKHERJEE, J.)