

OD-2

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
ORIGINAL SIDE

IA NO. GA/1/2026
In WPO/110/2026

M/S. VINAYAK OIL AND FATS PVT. LTD.
Vs
UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

BEFORE:
The Hon'ble JUSTICE SMITA DAS DE
Date : 7th August, 2026.

Appearance:
Mr. Arijit Chakrabarti, Adv.
Mr. Prabir Bera, Adv.
..for the petitioner

Mr. Tilak Mitra, Adv.
Mr. Soumen Bhattacharjee, Adv.
..for respondents

The Court: Leaned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present application IA no. GA 1 of 2026 has been filed seeking re-imposition of the interim order dated 8.4.2026 passed by the coordinate bench of this court.

It is submitted that as per the direction contained in the interim order dated 08.04.2026, the matter was to appear before this Court. However, the matter did not appear in the list and therefore, the interim order could not be extended and consequently expired on 3.6.2026.

It is further submitted that due to the expiry of the interim order, the respondent department has changed the status of the petitioner to "Del Status" in the official portal, causing prejudice to the petitioner.

In view of the above, it is prayed that the interim order dated 8.4.2026 be re-imposed and extended till the disposal of the writ petition.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent authorities vehemently opposes the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

It is submitted that since the interim order dated 8.4.2026 has already expired on 3.6.2026, there is no scope for re-imposition of the said order.

The respondent authorities object to the prayer for extension of interim protection.

After hearing the Learned counsel for the parties and upon perusing the materials on record this court finds that:

- a. The interim order dated 8.4.26 was passed by the coordinate bench and was to be extended upon listing.
- b. The matter could not be listed and therefore the interim protection lapsed on 3.6.26.
- c. The reasons for non extension of the interim order have been sufficiently explained by the petitioner in paragraph no. 5 of the application.
- d. In the interest of justice and to protect the rights of the petitioner the re-imposition of interim protection is warranted.

In view of the above observations IA no. GA 1 of 2026 is allowed and disposed of. The interim order dated 8.4.26 which expired on 3.6.26 is hereby re-imposed and further extended till 30th November 2026 or until further order whichever is earlier.

Let the main matter WPO 110 of 2026 be listed in the monthly list of November, 2026.

In the mean time both parties are directed to file and exchange their affidavits.

Since the interim order stand extended upto 30th November 2026, the respondent department is directed to remove the “Del Status” of the petitioner from the official portal forthwith.

(SMITA DAS DE, J.)

gb