

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
COMMERCIAL DIVISION
ORIGINAL SIDE

CS-COM/564/2024
IA NO. GA-COM/5/2025

BRAVO SPONGE IRON PRIVATE LIMITED
-VS-
BIRAT CHANDRA DAGARA

BEFORE:

The Hon'ble JUSTICE KRISHNA RAO

Date : August 21, 2025.

Appearance:

Mr. Kumarjit Banerjee, Adv.
Ms. Sanchari Chakraborty, Adv.
Mr. Sourojit Dasgupta, Adv.
Ms. Akanksha Chowdhury, Adv.
...for the plaintiff

1. The plaintiff has filed the present application being GA-COM/5/2025 praying for leave to disclose additional documents appearing in pages 33 to 35 of the present application.
2. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that normally at the time of filing of the suit, it is not the practice of the parties to disclose the documents with regard to the address of the plaintiff. In the present case, after filing of the suit, the defendant has filed an application for rejection of plaint on the ground that this Court is not having the jurisdiction as the Registered Office of the plaintiff is situated outside the jurisdiction of this Court.
3. As after filing of the suit, the plaintiff came to know that the defendant has taken specific defence with regard to jurisdiction of this Court on the ground that the office of the plaintiff is not situated within the jurisdiction of this Court at the time of filing of the suit.

4. Subsequently, the plaintiff has obtained Form No. INC-22 from the Master Data to prove that at the time of filing of the suit, the Registered Office of the plaintiff is situated within the jurisdiction of this Court and subsequently, the address was changed.
5. Counsel for the plaintiff further submits that the document was not in possession of the plaintiff at the time of filing of the suit and subsequently, the plaintiff has obtained the same from the website and intends to bring the said documents as the said documents is essential for proper adjudication of the present suit.
6. Heard the learned Counsel for the plaintiff.
7. Perused the application and the document which the plaintiff intends to bring on record.
8. Admittedly, the plaintiff has procured the said document from the website only to prove that at the time of filing of the suit, the office of the plaintiff was situated within the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has specifically raised question with regard to jurisdiction on the ground that the office of the plaintiff was not situated within the jurisdiction of this Court. Thus, subsequent to filing of the present suit, the plaintiff has obtained the said document from the website.
9. Considering the above, this Court finds that the plaintiff has sufficiently shown reason why the document has not been disclosed at the time of filing of the suit.

10. In view of the above, the plaintiff is allowed to disclose the documents i.e. Form No. INC-22 appearing at pages 33 to 35 of the instant application as the additional documents.
11. The plaintiff is given liberty to disclose the said documents along with Judge's Brief of Documents within two weeks.
12. GA-COM/5/2025 is disposed of.

(KRISHNA RAO, J.)