

OD-10

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION  
ORIGINAL SIDE

EC 9 OF 2024

IN THE MATTER OF:

DIPANKAR BANERJEE  
VS  
SUVANKAR BANERJEE

BEFORE:

The Hon'ble JUSTICE APURBA SINHA RAY

Date : 17<sup>th</sup> May, 2024

Appearance:

Ms. Tiana Bhattacharyya, Adv.

Mr. Dilip Kr. Ghosh, Adv.

For decree-holder

Ms. Noelle Banerjee, Adv.

Mr. Dipak Dey, Adv.

Ms. Sucheta Mitra, Adv.

Mr. Aniket Ojha, Adv.

For judgment-debtor

The Court : The learned counsel for the judgment-debtor has submitted that she wants to file affidavit-in-opposition against the execution application.

The learned counsel for the decree-holder has submitted that the judgment-debtor could have filed the affidavit-in-opposition but he did not do so.

The learned counsel for the decree-holder has also submitted that there is a chance of alienating the three relevant properties at

the instance of the judgment-debtor.

The learned counsel for the judgment-debtor has submitted that since last twelve years the judgment-debtor did not alienate any property because he was not empowered to do so as per the terms of the consent decree and as such, her client is not attempting to alienate any of the relevant three properties, as alleged.

Affidavit-in-opposition be filed within three days after the Summer Vacation and affidavit-in-reply, if any, be filed within three days thereafter. List the matter on 24<sup>th</sup> June, 2024.

(APURBA SINHA RAY, J.)

sg.