

**In the High Court at Calcutta
Commercial Division
Original Side**

CS-COM/9/2026

NATIONAL JUTE BOARD
VS
YAJUR FIBRES LIMITED

**BEFORE:
The Hon'ble JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA ROY
Date : July 1, 2026.**

Appearance:

Mr. Sudip Sanyal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Dibasis Basu, Adv.
Mr. Joydeep Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Surya Prasad Chattapadhyay, Adv.
Md. Fida Rosul, Adv.
... for the plaintiff

Mr. Aurin Chakraborty, Adv.
Mr. Pradip Kumar Sarawagi, Adv.
... for the defendant

The Court: Mr. Sudip Sanyal, learned Senior Advocate appears for the plaintiff.

Mr. Aurin Chakraborty, learned Advocate appears for the defendant.

At the outset, the record shows that discovery and inspection of documents is yet to complete. Since this is a commercial suit, amended relevant provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure would govern which are mandatory in nature and the time period is fixed thereunder. However, such timeframe, mandated under the amended CPC, can be enlarged if

the Court is satisfied with sufficiency of reasons. The plaintiff shall be at liberty to take out necessary application, if so advised.

Mr. Aurin Chakraborty, learned Advocate appearing for the defendant submits that source of the instant suit is an order passed by the learned City Civil Court dated **August 6, 2025** which is under challenge before the Coordinate Bench taking revisional application being **CO No. 3409 of 2025**. The order passed in the said revisional application dated **September 15, 2025** shows that the same is pending.

In view of the above, further hearing of the suit or any connected interlocutory application, arising out of the instant suit stand adjourned for the time being, until the revisional application is finally decided.

After the final decision in the revisional application, parties shall be at liberty to mention the matter, upon notice to each other, for inclusion of the suit and/or any other connected interlocutory application, arising thereunder.

(ANIRUDDHA ROY, J.)

RS