

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
(Intellectual Property Rights Division)  
ORIGINAL SIDE

IA NO. GA-COM/1/2025  
In  
IP-COM/10/2025

ANAND FLOUR MILLS LIMITED  
Vs  
M V FOOD INDUSTRIES LIMITED AND ORS.

BEFORE:  
The Hon'ble JUSTICE RAVI KRISHAN KAPUR  
Date : 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2025

*Appearance:*  
*Mr. Sourojit Dasgupta, Adv.*  
*Ms. Sanchari Chakraborty, Adv.*  
*Ms. Tanishka Khandelwal, Adv.*  
*...for the plaintiff.*

*Ms. Shoham Sanyal, Adv.*  
*Mr. Kunal Saraogi, Adv.*  
*...for the respondent no.2.*

The Court: The respondent no.2 is represented and prays for an adjournment in order to enable him to file the Vakalatnama during the course of the day.

None of the other respondents are represented nor is any adjournment prayed for on their behalf.

The interim order stands extended till 22<sup>nd</sup> August, 2025.

Let this matter appear on 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2025.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that in violation the ad interim order dated 5<sup>th</sup> February, 2025, the respondents are willfully continuing to sell the impugned goods.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to file an appropriate application, if so advised, in accordance with law.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to effect fresh service on all the respondents and file an affidavit of service on the returnable date.

As prayed for by the respondent no.2, the time to file affidavit-in-opposition stands extended by a week from date; Reply thereto, if any, be filed prior to the returnable date.

(RAVI KRISHAN KAPUR, J.)