

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION  
ORIGINAL SIDE

RVWO/2/2026  
WITH ACO/4/2025  
APO/240/2016  
CP/357/2011

IA NO: GA/1/2026

SALTEE INFRASTRUCTURE LTD  
VS  
M/S DAGA AUTO SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED AND ORS

BEFORE:  
THE HON'BLE JUSTICE SABYASACHI BHATTACHARYYA  
Date : 8<sup>th</sup> May, 2026.

Appearance:  
*Ms. Noelle Banerjee, Adv.*  
*..for the review appellant/ applicant*

*Mr. Shaunak Mukhopadhyay, Adv.*  
*Mr. Atish Ghosh, Adv.*  
*Ms. Antara Dey, Adv.*  
*..for the respondent no.5*

*Mr. Deepesh Sharma, Adv.*  
*Mr. Aditya Ratan Tiwary, Adv.*  
*..for the added respondents*

*Mr. Amritam Mandal, Adv.*  
*Ms. Swati Agarwal, Adv.*  
*...for the respondent no.12*

This Court:

1. It is pointed out by learned Counsel for the respondent no. 5 that despite a direction being given to the review applicant, vide order dated March 6, 2026, to file an application seeking impleadment of the other legal heirs and representatives of the deceased

respondent no. 2, Prayag Das Daga by March 10, 2026, no such application has been filed.

2. It is further submitted that Mr. Deepesh Sharma, learned Advocate has been appearing throughout in the proceedings and has instruction to appear on behalf of the said heirs and legal representatives of late Prayag Das Daga.
3. Accordingly, the concerned Department of this Court shall carry out the necessary rectification to the cause title of the review application by deleting the name of late Prayag Das Daga and impleading the names of his heirs and legal representatives.
4. The particulars of the said heirs and legal representatives shall be furnished in writing by the learned Advocate having instruction to appear for them with the Department during the course of the day for such purpose.
5. It may be recorded that the Vakalatnama on behalf of the heirs and legal representatives of late Prayag Das Daga has already been filed on their behalf and is on record.
6. The written notes of arguments filed by the parties be kept on record.
7. Hearing is concluded and the review application is reserved for judgment.
8. It may be recorded further that at this juncture, after conclusion of the entire hearing and filing of written notes of arguments, learned

Counsel for the respondent no. 12, who was present all along during the hearing, seeks leave to file an application for transposition and/or recalling.

9. However, at this belated stage, after the conclusion of hearing, such prayer cannot be permitted and is accordingly refused.

(SABYASACHI BHATTACHARYYA, J.)

gb.