

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

IA No. GA/2/2025
In
CS/5/2025
MAMATA BASU
VERSUS
ANJALI DEY AND ORS. .

BEFORE:

The Hon'ble JUSTICE ARINDAM MUKHERJEE

Date: 5th May, 2025.

APPEARANCE:

Mr. Meghnad Dutta, Adv.

Mr. S. Rudra, Adv.

For the defendant nos. 1, 2 & 3.

Mr. Dhiraj Trivedi, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Shakshi Rathi, Adv.

Mr. Bikash Singh, Adv.

For the defendant nos. 4, 5 & 6.

The Court: Perused the report filed by the learned Master & Official Referee dated 28th March, 2025.

On a perusal of the report, it appears that the plaintiff had sought for extension of time for issuance and lodgement of fresh Writ of Summons before the learned Master on 28th March, 2025.

After scrutinizing the record, the learned Master found that the defects as found on scrutiny were removed by the learned Advocate for the plaintiff on 14th February, 2025. Thereafter the Writ of Summons was duly issued to the learned Advocate for the plaintiff by the Writ & Execution Department on 14th February, 2025. However, the learned Advocate for the plaintiff did not lodge the Writ of Summons with the office of the Sheriff on the ground that the

prayer (d) which was permitted to be deleted by the Court on oral application by the plaintiff was not incorporated in the Writ of Summons. Subsequently, this defect was also cured and the Writ of Summons was issued. Since the Writ of Summons has already been issued, this application has become infructuous and as such the same is disposed of without passing any further order.

(ARINDAM MUKHERJEE, J.)

snn.