



OCD-2

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
SPECIAL JURISDICTION
ORIGINAL SIDE
(COMMERCIAL DIVISION)

IA NO. GA 1 OF 2023
IPDPTA 3 OF 2023
IN THE MATTER OF:
BASF SE

VS

DEPUTY CONTROLLER OF PATENTS AND DESIGNS

BEFORE:

The Hon'ble JUSTICE ARINDAM MUKHERJEE

Date : 25th August, 2023.

Appearance:

Ms. Uditā Saraf, Adv.

Mr. S. Betal, Adv.

For petitioner

Mr. Indrajeet Dasgupta, Adv.

Mr. Sailendra Tiwari, Adv.

For respondent

The Court : It was categorically pointed out to the learned advocate who appeared for the petitioner on 23rd August, 2023 that the averment in a particular paragraph of the restoration application is contrary to the recording in the order by which the same was dismissed.

The matter was adjourned to enable the learned advocate to take instruction as to whether the said paragraph will be retained in the petition or should be expunged. Today another learned advocate comes to move the matter while the learned advocate who had moved this application on 23rd August, 2023 is also present. It appears that either the learned advocate who is moving the application today has not been informed by the learned advocate who represented the applicant on the last day about the observations made by the



Court or is without any instruction.

This application being a restoration application, this Court is not inclined to adjourn the matter any further. The application is directed to go out of the list.

(ARINDAM MUKHERJEE, J.)

sb/