

**D/L – 126**  
**23/03/2026**  
Court No.6  
S.Kundu

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA**  
**CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI**

**CRR 443 of 2025**

**Smt. Priyanka Lakhani (Bihani) & Ors.**  
**Vs.**  
**Ashok Kumar Bihani**

Mr. Subhasish Misra

...for the petitioners.

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. The petitioner no. 1 is the wife of the complainant/opposite party and the petitioner no. 2 and 3 are her relatives. Initially the petitioner no. 1 had lodged an FIR against the husband and other in-laws, inter alia, on charges of torture due to dowry demand. Notarized understanding was entered into on the basis of which no objection was given by the petitioner no. 1 and the opposite party and his uncle were granted bail. As a counter blast and in order to pressurize her, the present complaint case was initiated making absurd allegations of forgery and criminal intimidation. No prima facie case is made out, as would be evident from a plain reading of the petitioner of complaint and any further continuation of

the impugned proceeding shall be an abuse of the process of law.

2. Let the petitioners serve a copy of the application upon the opposite party by speed post with AD within a week and affidavit of service shall be filed on the next date.
3. List this matter for hearing as Contested Application after four weeks.
4. The impugned proceeding shall remain stayed for a period of six weeks from date.
5. The parties shall be at liberty to pray for extension, modification or vacating of the interim order upon notice to the other side.
6. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance with requisite formalities.

**(Jay Sengupta, J.)**