

December 19, 2024

45 ARDR

Calcutta High Court
In The Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri

CRR 344 of 2024

Chiranjit Barman @ Dipak Barman & ors.
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & anr.

Adv. Anirban Banerjee,

...for the petitioners.

Adv. Arnab Saha,
Adv. Rajan Raj,
Adv. Md. Shoaib Akhtar,

...for the op no.2.

Adv. Aditi Shankar Chakraborty,
Adv. Subhasish Misra,

...for the State.

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioners is taken on record.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that during pendency of the case before the learned trial Court, the marriage between the 1st petitioner and the private opposite party has been registered on 21st June, 2023.

Per contra, learned counsel for the private opposite party submits that though the marriage between them has been registered upon an assurance given by the 1st petitioner that a social marriage would be performed in a short while and the 1st petitioner would take her to his house for leading a marital life together, the social marriage is yet to be performed and the petitioners are no longer inclined to accept the private opposite party as the wife of the 1st petitioner or perform the social marriage. In fact, the petitioners have obtained anticipatory

bail upon displaying the marriage certificate which has not been acted upon subsequently.

In reply, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are ready and willing to accept the private opposite party in their family as the wife of the 1st petitioner.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks accommodation to take instructions as to whether joint application can be filed before this Court in this regard.

Let the matter appear under the heading 'To Be Mentioned' on 23rd December, 2024.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)